IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.14674 of 2008
PAPPU SAO & ORS
Versus
STATE OF BIHAR
---

2 13.05.2008 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that on a complaint petition No.1795/2007 initially filed Buniyadganj P.S. Case No. 3 of 2008 under sections 323, 352, 379, 384, 420, 363, 504 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code was registered. But from the reading of the complaint it is found that the basic disputes between the parties which has given the look of cognizable offences emerges from the business transactions between the two parties which is evident from paragraph nos. 4 & 5 of the complaint petition. The dispute of payments has been finally given the shape serious in nature.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

It is also submitted that subsequently better sense has prevailed between the parties and compromise petition has also been filed which has been brought on record as Annexure – 2 and the said fact has also been taken note of by the learned Sessions Judge in his order.

In the totality of the facts and circumstances and evidence, in the event of their arrest/surrender within four weeks from today, let the petitioners namely (1) Pappu Sao, (2) Rambali Kumar, (3) Pankaj Kumar and (4)Jagdish Sao, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties

of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gaya, in connection with Buniyadganj P.S. Case No. 3 of 2008 subject to conditions under section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

Rajeev/- **(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J.)**

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL